



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,168	02/24/2000	Xinguo Wei	1999-0349	5447

25548 7590 05/22/2003

TERRANCE A. MEADOR
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH, LLP
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE
SUITE 1100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133

EXAMINER

HOM, SHICK C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2666

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/511,168

Applicant(s)

WEI, XINGUO

Examiner

Shick C Hom

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/24/00, 3/20/00.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-13 and 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because a brief descriptive label must be provided for each element shown, i.e. in Figs. 1 and 2 provide labels for remote units 12, 14, 16; in Fig. 2 provide labels for local sales areas 32. In sheet 6 of the drawing delete type "Fig. d" and insert ---Fig. 3d---. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 2, 16 line 2, the words "a hierarchy" seem to refer back to "a hierarchy" recited in claim 1 line 3 and claim 15 line 4, respectively. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a hierarchy" to ---the hierarchy---. In claims 3, 4, 11, 14 lines 3-4, claims 5, 6 line 2, the words "a geographical area" seems to refer back to "a geographical area" recited in claim 1 line 6. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a geographical area" to ---the geographical area---. In claim 3 lines 5-6, claim 4 lines 6-7, claims 7-10 line 2, claim 16 line 4 the words "a higher level" seem to refer back to "a higher level" recited in claim 1 line 4 and claim 15 line 4, respectively. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a higher level" to ---the higher level---. In claim 3 lines 7-8, claims 5-6 lines 2-3, claim 11 line 5 the words "a network element" seem to refer back to "a network element" recited in claim 1 line 6. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a network element" to ---the network element---. In claim 4 line 7, claim 17 lines 2-3, claim 18 line 8, the words "an alarm" seem to refer back to "an alarm" recited in claim 3 lines 6-7, claim 15 line 8, and claim 18 line 6, respectively. If this is

Art Unit: 2666

true, it is suggested changing "an alarm" to ---the alarm---. In claim 6 line 3, the words "a first icon" seem to refer back to "a first icon" recited in claim 5 line 3. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a first icon" to ---the first icon---. In claims 7, 10, line 3, claim 19 line 11 the words "a plurality of network elements" seem to refer back to "a plurality of network elements data" recited in claim 1 line 1 and claim 19 line 3, respectively. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a plurality of network elements" to ---the plurality of network elements---. In claim 15 line 11, the words "a lower level" seem to refer back to "a lower level" recited in claim 15 line 5. If this is true, it is suggested changing "a lower level" to ---the lower level---. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 18 line 6 which recite "the map display" lacks clear antecedent basis because no map display have been

Art Unit: 2666

previously recite in the claim and therefore the limitation is not clearly understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-6 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henderson et al.

Regarding claim 1:

Henderson et al. disclose the method for managing network elements in a communications network (col. 1 lines 6-10) comprising: establishing a hierarchy of geographical areas in the communications network (Figs. 2A-B and col. 7 lines 28-54), where an area at a higher level of the hierarchy includes a plurality of areas at a lower level of the hierarchy (col. 7 lines 28-54,

Art Unit: 2666

col. 8 lines 1-23, col. 15 lines 16-22); representing each network element in a geographical area at a first level in the geographical hierarchy (col. 8 lines 48-67); and summarizing the representation of network elements at a second level in the geographical hierarchy, higher than the first level of the geographical hierarchy (col. 10 lines 5-14).

Regarding claim 2:

Henderson et al. disclose the method wherein the establishment of a hierarchy of geographical areas includes establishing a hierarchy of n levels of geographical areas in the network (col. 15 lines 16-22), herein each n th level geographical area includes a plurality of $(n-1)$ th level geographical areas, and wherein the summarization of network elements includes summarizing the representation of network elements at $(n-1)$ levels of geographical areas (col. 10 lines 5-14).

Regarding claim 3:

Henderson et al. disclose the method wherein the management of the communication network includes monitoring the condition of the network elements (col. 6 lines 4-15 and col. 18 lines 18-24), wherein the representation of network elements in a geographical area includes representing the condition of network elements, and wherein the summarization of network elements at a higher level

Art Unit: 2666

in the geographical hierarchy includes triggering an alarm (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7) at the second hierarchical level in response to the condition of a network element represented at the first level (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7).

Regarding claim 4:

Henderson et al. disclose the method wherein the communication network is managed in real-time (col. 12 line 66 to col. 13 line 6), and further comprising, following the representation of each network element in a geographical area: updating the condition of network elements represented in the first level of the geographical hierarchy; and wherein the summarization of network elements at a higher level in the geographical hierarchy includes setting an alarm (col. 15 lines 16-44) at the second hierarchical level in response to changes in the condition of network elements (col. 1 lines 12-30, col. 3 lines 29-46).

Regarding claim 5:

Henderson et al. disclose the method wherein the representation of each network element in a geographical area includes representing a network element as a first icon on a map

Art Unit: 2666

of geographical areas on the first level of the geographical hierarchy (col. 15 lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 6:

Henderson et al. disclose the method wherein the representation of each network element in a geographical area includes representing the condition of a network element with a first icon that varies with respect to the status of the network element (col. 15 lines 10-51).

Regarding claim 15:

Henderson et al. disclose the method for determining the failure of a network element in a communications network (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7) comprising: representing the communications network as a hierarchy of geographical areas (Figs. 2A-B and col. 7 lines 28-54), where an area at a higher level of the hierarchy of geographical areas includes a plurality of areas at a lower level of the hierarchy of geographical areas (col. 7 lines 28-54, col. 8 lines 1-23, col. 15 lines 16-22); detecting the failure of network elements; sending an alarm to the higher level in the geographical hierarchy (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7) summarizing the failure of the network elements; and responsive to the alarm (col. 10 lines 5-14), identifying and locating failed network elements at a lower level

Art Unit: 2666

of the geographical hierarchy (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7).

Regarding claim 16:

Henderson et al. disclose wherein the representation of the communications network as a hierarchy of geographical areas includes representing the communications networks as a hierarchical arrangement of geographical maps (col. 7 lines 28-54) wherein a map at a higher level of the hierarchy of geographical areas includes a plurality of maps from the lower level of the hierarchy of geographical areas (col. 2 lines 30-49).

Regarding claim 17:

Henderson et al. disclose wherein the sending of an alarm to the higher level in the geographical hierarchy summarizing network element failures (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7) includes defining an alarm trigger that is responsive to the network element failures (col. 10 lines 5-14 and col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7).

Regarding claim 18:

Henderson et al. disclose the method for determining the failure of a network element in a communications network (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7) comprising: monitoring a geographical

Art Unit: 2666

map which summarizes the status of a plurality of network elements in the communications network (col. 6 lines 4-15 and col. 18 lines 18-24); on the map display (col. 7 lines 28-54), receiving an alarm representing the failure of network elements (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7); and responsive to an alarm, narrowing the scale of the map (col. 15 lines 16-44) to geographically located failed network elements (col. 15 line 66 to col. 16 line 7).

Regarding claim 19:

Henderson et al. disclose the system for presenting a communications network comprising: a plurality of network elements having geographic locations (Figs. 2A-B and col. 7 lines 28-54); a database including the geographical locations of the network elements (col. 3 lines 9-29); an application connected to said database to organize the communications network into a hierarchical arrangement of geographic areas (col. 12 line 43 to col. 13 line 51), wherein each network element is located at a lower level in the hierarchy of geographical areas (col. 7 lines 28-54, col. 8 lines 1-23, col. 15 lines 16-22), said application summarizing the representation of a plurality of network elements at a higher level in the hierarchy of geographical areas (col. 10 lines 5-14); a display having an

Art Unit: 2666

input connected to said application to present a modifiable display of network elements as represented in multiple levels in the hierarchy of geographical areas (col. 3 lines 9-46); and a supervisor interface connected to said application, said supervisor interface providing commands to said application to modify said display (col. 1 lines 12-30, col. 3 lines 29-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Cutrer et al.

For claims 14 and 20 Henderson et al. disclose the method/system described in paragraph 6 of this office action.

Henderson et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the communications

Art Unit: 2666

network being a fixed wireless system (FWS) wherein the network elements are base stations and remote units as in claims 14 and 20.

Cutrer et al. teach that it is known to provide wireless communications for an in-building coverage area having a hub, a number of permanent antennas and a number of links connecting hub to antennas capable of sending and receiving signals in the radio-frequency (RF) range whereby the hub is typically a base station for cellular or cordless telephony including mobile users as set forth at col. 4 lines 17-48 in the field of telecommunications which clearly anticipate the communications network being a fixed wireless system (FWS) wherein the network elements are base stations and remote units as in claims 14 and 20.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fixed wireless system wherein the network elements are base stations and remote units as taught by Cutrer et al. in the telecommunications network of Henderson et al. The fixed wireless system wherein the network elements are base stations and remote units can be implemented by substituting the SONET or wired network with the wireless system. The motivation for using

Art Unit: 2666

the wireless system as taught by Cutrer et al. in the telecommunications network of Henderson et al. being the desirable advantage not having to re-wire a building to added services and to providing more mobility to users.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7-13 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
FaupeL et al. disclose a telecommunications network management method and system.

Anerousis et al. disclose automatic aggregation of network management information in spatial, temporal and functional forms.

Art Unit: 2666

11. Any response to this nonfinal action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal
Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth
Floor (2600 Receptionist at (703) 305-4750).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier
communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick Hom
whose telephone number is (703) 305-4742. The examiner's regular
work schedule is Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm EST and
out of office on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are
unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be
reached at (703) 308-5463.

Application/Control Number: 09/511,168

Page 15

Art Unit: 2666

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Dang Ton', written in a cursive style.

DANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

SH

May 18, 2003